

THE FUTURE OF THE GRAND BARGAIN

FINDINGS OF THE SURVEY OCTOBER 2022

The survey was the first step in the process to collectively determine the future of the Grand Bargain after June 2023. The purpose of the survey was to measure the interest of the Signatories for their continued engagement and resources invested in the process. This exercise also provided quantitative data on the key elements of the Grand Bargain's structure that bring the most added value in achieving efficiency and effectiveness of humanitarian aid.

RESPONSES TO THE SURVEY



The survey was limited to one response per Signatory. The targeted respondents were Grand Bargain Sherpas to ensure survey findings reflected senior-level stakeholders and institutional buy-in.

ALL CONSTITUENCIES are in favour of continuing the process, but with changes to the current structure.

POSITIONS ON THE FUTURE OF THE GRAND BARGAIN

76%

Strongly agree/Agree

18%

Undecided

6%

Strongly disagree/Disagree

VALUE OF THE GRAND BARGAIN VIS-A-VIS OTHER FORA

Across constituencies, Signatories agree that **QUALITY FUNDING** is best tackled in the Grand Bargain...

80%


LOCALISATION

82%

QUALITY FUNDING

Overall according to respondents, the Grand Bargain has the least added value for addressing **PARTICIPATION...**

48% agree that the Grand Bargain brings added value to address participation



26% are undecided

26% disagree that the Grand Bargain brings value to address participation

Although, the picture is different at constituency level...

Majority of Donors INGOs L/N NGOs

...believe that other fora would not provide a good platform to address the **Grand Bargain enabling priorities**

QUALITY FUNDING
LOCALISATION
PARTICIPATION

The UN

...are more supportive of tackling **localisation and participation** issues outside the Grand Bargain


POSITIONS ON STRUCTURE AND THE VALUE OF EXISTING ELEMENTS

Call for a simplification of the structure.

While majority believe a structure is needed to monitor the implementation of the Grand Bargain commitments (**66% of respondents**)...

...**78%** believe that the overall structure (workstreams, caucuses, National Reference Groups), should be simplified...

...**Only 42 %** would like to see the governance structure simplified (current governance: Eminent Person, Facilitation Group, Secretariat)



Respondents see value in governance bodies towards driving aid efficiency and effectiveness


Less value seen in workstreams, National Reference Groups, and caucuses

58 % of respondents would like to see the role of the Eminent Person strengthened

*It should be taken into consideration that the **National Reference Groups** have only recently started, and therefore have not had time to demonstrate their added value.*

COMMITMENTS TO CONTINUE AS A SIGNATORY

92% feel there is a continued commitment to participate in the Grand Bargain in their institution



6% are undecided

Only 2% feel there is NO continued commitment to participate in the Grand Bargain in their institution

However, **only 46 % of respondents** said they would invest financial resources to support the implementation, while **70% donors are undecided**